



Peer-Reviewed, International,  
Academic Research Journal



#### Citation

Petrove, A. (2021). Shaping Social Realities, Critiquing Power Structures and Comprehending Social Change Through Sociological Frameworks. *Social Science Chronicle*, Vol. 1, Issue - 1, pp. 1-15.

#### Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

<https://doi.org/10.56106/ssc.2021.006>

**Received** - March 11, 2021

**Accepted** - July 23, 2021

**Published** - July 30, 2021

#### Web-Link

All the contents of this peer reviewed article as well as author details are available at <http://socialsciencechronicle.com/article-ssc-2021-006>

#### Copyright

The copyright of this article is reserved with the author/s.  
© 2021, Anton Petrove.

This publication is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution, Non-Commercial, Share Alike 4.0 International License. It permits unrestricted copying and redistribution of this publication in any medium or format.



#### RESEARCH ARTICLE

## Shaping Social Realities, Critiquing Power Structures and Comprehending Social Change Through Sociological Frameworks

Anton Petrove<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom.

\* Corresponding Author

#### Abstract

*This research paper explores a rich array of sociological theories that collectively offer lenses through which the intricate dynamics of human society can be comprehended. At the heart of sociological inquiry lies a diverse array of theoretical frameworks that have shaped the discipline and continue to inform research and analysis. From Functionalist perspectives that view society as a system of interdependent parts to Critical Theory, which critiques societal structures and aims to foster social justice, these theories provide distinct insights into societal structures, power dynamics, and human behavior. The journey through these frameworks elucidates their individual contributions, from Functionalism's emphasis on societal equilibrium to Conflict Theory's scrutiny of power differentials. Symbolic Interactionism sheds light on the micro-level dynamics of social interaction, while Feminist Theory advocates for the eradication of gender-based disparities. Structuralism explores the influence of societal structures, Rational Choice Theory dives deep into individual decision-making, and Social Exchange Theory examines give-and-take dynamics within relationships. Phenomenology challenges the objectivity of reality, emphasizing subjective experiences, while Critical Theory critiques and aims to transform oppressive systems. Synthesizing these perspectives unveils their interconnectedness, offering a holistic understanding of societal dynamics. They not only enrich our comprehension of society but also position us to critically evaluate societal structures and power dynamics, fostering potential social transformations. The enduring relevance and significance of these frameworks in contemporary sociology underscore their instrumental role in shaping research, policy-making, and societal discourse. The integration and adaptation of these theoretical frameworks continue to empower critical analyses and discussions, paving the way for deeper comprehension of societal complexities and fostering advocacy for social justice. These theories serve as guiding lights, illuminating pathways towards transformative change and a more equitable and just society, a testament to our enduring commitment to understanding and fostering a world where justice and equality prevail.*

#### Keywords

*Sociological Theories, Functionalism, Conflict Theory, Symbolic Interactionism, Critical Theory, Power Dynamics, Social Structures, Social Justice, Human Behavior, Societal Dynamics.*

### 1. Introduction

Sociology, as a vibrant and dynamic field, encompasses a myriad of theoretical frameworks that serve as critical lenses through which the complex amalgamation of human behavior, societal structures, and power dynamics is analyzed and understood. These theoretical

paradigms, each with its distinct perspectives, illuminate the intricate interplay between individuals and society, providing comprehensive insights into the multifaceted nature of social phenomena (Cetina & Cicourel, 2014; Fox, Lidz, & Bershad, 2005; Reed, 2006; Star, 1996; J. H. Turner, 2008). From macro-level perspectives that dissect the grand structures of society to micro-level approaches that delve into individual interactions, these theories collectively contribute to a rich understanding of the complexities within the social world. At the heart of sociological inquiry lie a diverse array of theoretical frameworks that have shaped the discipline and continue to inform research and analysis. Among these, Functionalism stands as a cornerstone theory that views society as a system comprising interconnected parts, each serving a vital function in maintaining social stability and equilibrium. Emerging from the works of Emile Durkheim and Herbert Spencer, this perspective illuminates the interdependence of various social institutions and their functions in ensuring societal cohesion (Chiareli, 2019a; Giroux, 1980a; Lamont & Wuthnow, 1990; Soltanpour, Peri, & Temri, 2019; Stolley, 2005).

In contrast, Conflict Theory, championed by thinkers such as Karl Marx, dives deep into the inherent power differentials, social stratification, and the perpetual conflict between dominant and subordinate groups within society. This perspective highlights the inequalities and disparities that arise from the struggle for resources and power, emphasizing how these dynamics shape social interactions and perpetuate societal tensions. Symbolic Interactionism, another influential framework within sociology, zooms into the micro-level interactions among individuals, examining how shared symbols and meanings shape social life (Lockie, 2004; Nalah & Ishaya, 2013; Prus, 1999; Stout, 2004; Sutherland & Feltey, 2012). Pioneered by George Herbert Mead, this perspective underscores the significance of symbols in constructing social reality, emphasizing the role of communication and interaction in shaping individual identities and social dynamics. Feminist Theory, arising from multiple strands such as liberal, radical, Marxist, and postmodern feminism, challenges the pervasive gender-based inequalities and aims to dismantle the structural oppression and marginalization of women. This critical lens emphasizes the historical and contemporary power imbalances between genders and seeks social reforms to achieve gender equality (Chiareli, 2019b; Delaney, 2015; Henry & Milovanovic, 1991; Robbins, Chatterjee, & Canda, 2010; J. H. Turner, 2012).

Structuralism, a theoretical approach that explores how societal structures influence individual behavior, dives deep into the impact of larger societal systems on shaping human experiences. Pioneered by Claude Lévi-Strauss and Ferdinand de Saussure, Structuralism underscores the stability and interconnectedness of societal systems and their influence on individual agency. Rational Choice Theory, rooted in economics and later extended to sociology, posits that individuals make decisions based on rational calculations aimed at maximizing benefits and minimizing costs (Browne, 2016; Giroux, 1980b; Jacobsen, 2019; Yar, 2012; York & Mancus, 2009). This theoretical perspective dives into the strategic evaluation of costs and benefits as individuals navigate their choices in various domains of life. Social Exchange Theory, akin to Rational Choice Theory, concentrates on the interactions among individuals, examining the give-and-take dynamics within relationships. This perspective elucidates social interactions as akin to economic transactions,

emphasizing the calculated process of exchanging resources to maximize benefits and minimize costs within relationships. Phenomenology, emerging from the works of Edmund Husserl and developed by Maurice Merleau-Ponty, accentuates the subjective experiences of individuals and how they interpret and make sense of their world (Brantlinger & Danforth, 2006; Collinson, 2005; Griswold, 2012; Shabani, 2003; Williams, 2004). This lens challenges the objectivity of reality, emphasizing the significance of lived experiences and the interpretation of the world through individual consciousness. Lastly, Critical Theory, emanating from the Frankfurt School and scholars like Max Horkheimer and Theodor Adorno, serves as a transformative lens that critiques societal structures, norms, and power dynamics, aiming to transform oppressive systems and advocate for social justice. This critical framework illuminates the underlying power dynamics and systemic oppressions within society, fostering analyses that aim to dismantle oppressive structures and foster social change (Edgley, 2003; Hutchison, 2003; Matsueda, 2006; M. J. Thompson, 2016; Wagner, 1964).

The diversity and complexity of these theoretical frameworks collectively offer a comprehensive view of the intricacies within societal structures, power dynamics, and human experiences. This research paper endeavors to synthesize and juxtapose these various lenses, underscoring their contributions to our understanding of social life and their collective role in unraveling the intricate fabric of human society. By weaving together the insights from these diverse perspectives, this paper aims to provide a holistic comprehension of the multifaceted nature of societal dynamics. Moreover, the integration of these theories offers a nuanced understanding of societal phenomena, setting the stage for critical analyses aimed at unraveling the complex web of human experiences within society. It emphasizes the interconnectedness among these frameworks, highlighting their mutual reinforcement and the complementary insights they offer. This comprehensive view not only enables a richer understanding of the social world but also positions us to critically evaluate societal structures and power dynamics, paving the way for potential social transformations and fostering a more just and equitable society.

## 2. Organic Structure of Society: Analyzing Functionalism in Sociological Perspectives

Functionalism, an influential sociological perspective, embodies a comprehensive theoretical framework that perceives society as an intricately structured entity comprising interdependent elements, analogous to a well-integrated and cohesive organism (Barbalet, 2001; Capper, 1993; Harper & Snowden, 2017; Ritzer, 2004; Walter, 2017). The fundamental premise of functionalism, which derives its origins from the works of early sociologists like Emile Durkheim, is the conceptualization of society as a complex system, much akin to a living organism, where various components harmoniously interact to sustain equilibrium and order. This theoretical outlook elucidates the interconnection and interdependence of societal elements, delineating how they function collaboratively to ensure the stability and coherence of the larger social structure. At its core, functionalism posits that society operates akin to a biological organism, where each part serves a specific function, and the collective functioning of these parts contributes to the overall health and stability of the system (Allan, 2005; Catton Jr &

Dunlap, 1978; P. A. Jackson & Smith, 2014; Ritzer, 2015; Schafer, 1971).

This analogy allows functionalists to draw parallels between societal structures and the organic systems found in nature, underscoring the need for each part to perform its designated role to sustain the whole. Within this theoretical framework, social institutions, such as education, family, religion, and the economy, are regarded as vital components that fulfill essential functions crucial for the smooth operation and continuity of society. The theory of functionalism extends beyond the mere recognition of these institutions, emphasizing their roles in maintaining social order and stability (Buzan, 2004; MacDonald, 2001; Prior-Miller, 2017; Ulmer, 2017; White, Martin, & Adamsons, 2018). For instance, the family institution is perceived as the primary unit for socializing individuals and transmitting cultural norms and values, contributing to the overall cohesion of society. Similarly, education, as another critical social institution, functions to impart knowledge, skills, and social norms necessary for the functioning of the workforce and the perpetuation of societal stability.

Furthermore, functionalism accentuates the idea that social phenomena and structures persist in society because they serve a purpose or function. This perspective contends that societal elements exist and endure because they fulfill essential roles, contributing to the maintenance and continuity of the social system (Charmaz, 2011; Shmuel N Eisenstadt, 1990; Martin, 2004; Risman, 2018; J. H. Turner & Maryanski, 1979). Dysfunction or breakdown in any of these components is believed to disrupt the equilibrium and may trigger compensatory mechanisms to restore stability. This intricate interplay of societal parts and their functions echoes the organic analogy, suggesting that disturbances within one segment can reverberate across the entire system, potentially leading to societal imbalance and disarray. While functionalism offers a compelling framework for comprehending the workings of society, it has not escaped criticism.

One notable critique pertains to its inclination to oversimplify complex social structures and dynamics. Critics argue that functionalism tends to overlook conflict, power differentials, and the impact of individual agency in shaping society. This perspective often neglects the disparities and inequalities present within societal structures, emphasizing instead the harmonious interdependence of components. Moreover, functionalism has been accused of adopting a conservative stance by legitimizing the existing social order and overlooking the potential for societal change or transformation. In contemporary sociological discourse, functionalism persists as a foundational theory that offers insights into the functional interplay among societal elements, contributing significantly to the understanding of social order and stability (Conyers & Calhoun, 2015; Hall, 2003; O'Donnell, 1999; Rogers, 2013; J. H. Turner, 2007).

However, its limitations and oversights necessitate the integration of other theoretical perspectives, such as conflict theory or symbolic interactionism, to capture the complexities inherent in societal dynamics. As society evolves and confronts new challenges, the adaptability and inclusivity of multiple theoretical frameworks become imperative in providing a more comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of human social interactions and the ever-evolving structures of society.

### 3. Conflict Theory in Societal Analysis

Conflict theory serves as a pivotal lens in sociological analysis, epitomizing a paradigm that scrutinizes society through the lens of persistent conflict, inequality, and power differentials. At the crux of conflict theory lies the notion that societal structures are not harmoniously interdependent, as posited by functionalism, but rather exhibit inherent conflict and tension resulting from competing interests, particularly between distinct social classes. This perspective traces its origins to the works of Karl Marx, who postulated that the stratification of society into social classes—primarily the bourgeoisie and the proletariat—sowed the seeds of perpetual struggle, with the dominant class exerting control over resources, power, and influence, thus perpetuating social inequality and conflict (Doda, 2005; Savelsberg, 1994; Ugwudike, 2015; Valentine, Trautner, & Spade, 2019; Zerihun, 2005). The foundational premise of conflict theory lies in the belief that society is characterized by unequal distribution of resources, rights, and opportunities among different social groups. These disparities generate power differentials, contributing to a perpetual state of conflict between those who possess authority and those who are marginalized or oppressed. This perspective emphasizes the role of power as a pivotal force in society, where individuals or groups exert influence to protect their own interests, often at the expense of others, resulting in a continual tug-of-war for control and dominance within the social hierarchy (Brent, Brent, & Lewis, 2013; Chang, 2004; Davis, 1972; Liu, 2015; Rigney, 2001).

The focal point of conflict theory is the examination of how power differentials and structural inequalities perpetuate societal conflicts. For instance, the bourgeoisie, possessing economic and political power, aim to maintain their dominant position and perpetuate the status quo to safeguard their interests. Meanwhile, the proletariat, lacking similar power and resources, find themselves in a disadvantaged position, leading to a struggle for better rights, resources, and improved conditions. This struggle is not merely confined to economic domains but permeates various facets of societal life, including education, law, politics, and cultural norms. Moreover, conflict theory underscores the dynamic nature of conflict within society. It postulates that conflict is not merely an anomaly or an occasional disruption but an intrinsic and integral aspect of social life. This perpetual conflict is fueled by the disparities in wealth, power, and opportunities among different societal groups (Eder, 1993; Király, Pataki, Köves, & Balázs, 2013; Mouzelis, 2008; Ritzer, 1990; J. H. Turner & Machalek, 2018).

It highlights the perpetuation of conflict as an agent of change, potentially challenging existing power structures and paving the way for societal transformation. Critically, conflict theory elucidates the notion that social change and progress often stem from these conflicts and struggles. It postulates that societal change is not a result of consensus or smooth functioning but emerges from the clashes and contestations between different groups vying for their interests. Historical revolutions, labor movements, civil rights protests, and other social upheavals serve as prime illustrations of how conflicts, stemming from inequality and injustice, act as catalysts for societal transformation (Görke & Scholl, 2006; Janowitz, 1991; Livesay, 1985; Merton, 1996; Strasser, 2014). However, despite its profound insights, conflict theory is not devoid of criticism.

Detractors argue that it oversimplifies the multifaceted nature of society by predominantly focusing on economic factors and class conflict, neglecting other dimensions such as race, gender, and culture. Furthermore, critics highlight the theory's propensity to accentuate division and conflict at the expense of acknowledging instances of cooperation and consensus within society. In contemporary sociological analyses, conflict theory remains instrumental in understanding societal structures, emphasizing the dynamics of power and inequality (J. H. Ballantine & Roberts, 2008; Dunlap, 2002; Gumpłowicz, 1980; Sovacool & Hess, 2017; Wrong, 2018). It has expanded beyond its original focus on economic class conflict to encompass a broader spectrum of social inequalities, including gender, race, and other forms of oppression. The integration of conflict theory with other theoretical perspectives, such as functionalism and symbolic interactionism, enables a more comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of societal dynamics and the complexities inherent in human interactions within societal structures. As society evolves, conflict theory continues to offer invaluable insights into the persistent struggle for power and resources, as well as the potential for societal change stemming from these conflicts.

#### 4. Social Realities in Symbolic Interactionism

Symbolic interactionism stands as a cornerstone in sociological theory, delving into the intricate mechanisms that underlie human interactions, emphasizing the role of symbols and shared meanings in shaping social reality. The framework of symbolic interactionism, notably championed by scholars such as George Herbert Mead and Herbert Blumer, operates on the foundational premise that individuals actively construct and interpret their social world through a complex interplay of symbols, language, and shared meanings (Branom, 2014; Côté, 2019; Giroux, 1982; Katovich & Reese, 1993; R. H. Turner, 1988). This perspective diverges from broader societal structures or institutions, focusing instead on the micro-level interactions between individuals and how these interactions contribute to the creation of social reality. At its essence, symbolic interactionism accentuates the significance of symbols, which encompass any object, gesture, word, or even a concept that conveys a particular meaning to individuals. These symbols are not inherently meaningful but acquire significance through the process of social interaction and mutual agreement. For instance, language itself is a system of symbols, where words or phrases represent shared meanings and concepts within a particular society or group.

Understanding this, symbolic interactionism contends that individuals actively interpret and assign meaning to these symbols, shaping their perceptions of the world and guiding their behaviors within social contexts (Shmuel Noah Eisenstadt, 1995; Joas, 1993; McNamee & Glasser, 1987; Shalin, 1986; Weigert, 2008). Moreover, symbolic interactionism emphasizes the notion of the 'self' and the 'other.' The 'self' is the individual's own understanding of themselves, formed through social interaction and the internalization of external perceptions and meanings. The 'other' represents individuals with whom one interacts, shaping and influencing the perceptions and behaviors of the 'self.' This duality of the 'self' and the 'other' underscores the importance of social interactions in the construction of one's identity and understanding of the social world. The exchange of symbols and meanings within these interactions

aids in the development of self-concept and social identities (Biddle, 1986; Lange, 2015; Ritzer, 1991; Schur, 1969; J. B. Thompson, 1983). Crucially, symbolic interactionism asserts that human behavior is not merely a response to external stimuli or predetermined social structures but is actively shaped by the meanings individuals attribute to situations and symbols. In essence, individuals act based on their interpretation of the situation rather than responding mechanically to the situation itself. This process of interpretation and meaning-making is ongoing and evolves through continuous social interactions, contributing to the fluid and dynamic nature of social reality. Furthermore, this theory highlights the importance of social roles and how individuals adopt and perform these roles within various social contexts (Abrutyn, 2013; Denzin, 2017; Fine, 1993; Roslender & Dillard, 2003; J. H. Turner & Boyns, 2001).

People assume different roles in different situations, guided by the expectations and meanings associated with those roles. For instance, a person's behavior and demeanor in a professional setting may markedly differ from their behavior in a social or familial context. These roles are not fixed but are subject to negotiation, adjustment, and reinterpretation as individuals engage in social interactions and attribute new meanings to their roles. However, symbolic interactionism has faced criticisms, notably for its focus on micro-level interactions and the potential oversight of larger societal structures and systemic influences on individual behavior (Fararo, 2001; Fine, 1995; Fuchs, 2006; Hustedde, 2009; Wexler, 2017). Critics argue that while this theory elucidates the intricacies of individual interactions, it might not fully address the broader social forces and power dynamics that shape these interactions. In contemporary sociological discourse, symbolic interactionism retains significance, particularly in understanding the nuances of everyday social interactions and the construction of social reality. Its incorporation into research methodologies and analyses offers a profound understanding of how individuals navigate their social worlds, emphasizing the dynamism and subjectivity inherent in human interactions (Cockerham, 2007; Guess, 2006; Heilbroner, 2012; Howard, 1994; Jun, 2012). When integrated with other sociological theories, such as functionalism and conflict theory, symbolic interactionism enriches the comprehension of the interplay between micro-level interactions and broader societal structures, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of the complexities inherent in human social life.

#### 5. Gender and Feminist Theories in Societal Analysis

Feminist theory stands as a critical and expansive framework within sociology, seeking to unravel and rectify the intricate fabric of social, political, and economic inequalities ingrained within the fabric of gendered power dynamics. This lens operates on the foundational premise that societal structures are inherently marked by unequal distributions of power, privileges, and opportunities based on gender, with a particular emphasis on the subjugation and marginalization of women (Allan, 2013; Cicourel, 1995; Eder, 2015; Olsson & Jerneck, 2018; Putney, Alley, & Bengtson, 2005). Central to feminist theory is the recognition that gender is not merely a biological or natural distinction but a social construct that shapes and influences individuals' experiences, opportunities, and societal roles. At its core, feminist theory scrutinizes the historical and contemporary imbalances in power and resources between genders, shedding light on the systemic oppression and dis-

crimination that women face across various spheres of life. This lens discerns that the disparities in social, economic, and political realms are not arbitrary but are deeply entrenched within societal structures and norms (Hurrelmann, 1988; Morrow & Torres, 1995; Phillipson & Baars, 2007; Powell & Gilbert, 2008; R. Turner, 2017). It advocates for a critical analysis of these power differentials, questioning the origins and perpetuation of gender-based inequalities. Feminist theory does not stand as a singular, monolithic viewpoint; rather, it comprises multiple strands and perspectives, including liberal, radical, Marxist, and postmodern feminism, each offering distinct insights into the mechanisms of gender-based inequality. Liberal feminism, for instance, strives for gender equality through legal and societal reforms, emphasizing equal opportunities for men and women within existing structures. Radical feminism dives deep into the root causes of gender inequality, highlighting the need for fundamental societal restructuring to eradicate patriarchal systems (Baert & Da Silva, 2010; Clarke, 1991; Schiff, 1976; Stedman, Decker, Riley, & Siemer, 2012; W. E. Thompson, Hickey, & Thompson, 2016).

Marxist feminism explores the intersectionality of class and gender, underscoring how capitalism and gender oppression intertwine to exacerbate inequalities. Postmodern feminism challenges the notion of a singular, universal truth and critiques the construction of gender identities within society. An essential aspect of feminist theory is the concept of intersectionality, which underscores that gender oppression is often compounded by other forms of discrimination and privilege, such as race, class, sexuality, and ethnicity. Intersectionality elucidates how these interlocking systems of oppression intersect, creating distinct and varied experiences for different groups of women (Brickell, 2006; Burrell & Morgan, 2017; Doing, 2001; Hirsch, 2018; Teixeira, 2017). For instance, a woman of color might face different challenges and prejudices compared to a white woman due to the intertwining factors of race and gender. Recognizing these intersecting layers of oppression is vital in understanding the complex experiences of individuals within society. Feminist theory critically examines the pervasive nature of gender-based oppression in various domains, including education, labor, politics, and familial structures. In education, for instance, it unveils the historical marginalization of women in academic and professional spheres, highlighting disparities in educational opportunities and the perpetuation of gender stereotypes (Giddens & Griffiths, 2006; Hassard, 1995; Llewellyn, Agu, & Mercer, 2008; Swingewood, 1999; J. H. Turner, 2001).

Within the labor force, feminist theory illuminates the unequal pay, limited career advancement, and workplace discrimination women face, emphasizing the need for equity in employment opportunities and fair treatment. In politics, this lens underscores the underrepresentation of women in leadership roles and decision-making positions, advocating for greater female participation and representation in governance structures. Moreover, it unveils the intricate power dynamics and societal expectations within familial structures, emphasizing the need for breaking away from traditional gender roles and promoting egalitarian relationships. Critics of feminist theory argue against its generalizations and its perceived portrayal of women as a homogenous group, overlooking variations in experiences among women. Some critics contend that this lens might downplay the complexities of male experiences and the ways in which men are also affected by societal gender norms. In contemporary sociology, feminist theory remains a crucial lens

through which societal structures and power dynamics are scrutinized (J. Ballantine & Hammack, 2015; Bernardi, González, & Requena, 2007; Boltanski, Honneth, & Celikates, 2014; Godwyn & Gittel, 2011; Hirsch, 2008). Its influence extends beyond academia, influencing policy-making, activism, and social movements aimed at rectifying gender-based disparities. The integration of feminist theory with other sociological frameworks, such as critical theory or symbolic interactionism, enables a more comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of gender dynamics within society. This interdisciplinary approach is instrumental in comprehending the complexities of gender-based inequalities and in working towards creating a more equitable and just society for all genders. The ongoing evolution and diversification of feminist thought continue to enrich sociological discourse, amplifying the imperative to dismantle gender-based oppression and achieve gender equality across diverse societal domains.

## 6. Navigating Societal Structures in Structuralism

Structuralism, within the realm of sociology, stands as a significant theoretical approach that dives deep into the fundamental interplay between social structures and individual behaviors, unraveling the intricate ways in which larger societal systems mold and influence human experiences. At its core, structuralism diverges from approaches like symbolic interactionism, which focus on micro-level interactions, by emphasizing the primacy of overarching societal structures and systems in guiding and governing human behavior. This perspective asserts that individuals are not solely agents operating within a vacuum of personal choices but are significantly influenced and even constrained by the larger social structures in which they are situated (Callero, 2003; Giulianotti, 2015; Kingsbury & Scanzoni, 1993; Molnar & Kelly, 2013; Scambler, 2018). The foundational premise of structuralism is rooted in the belief that society operates through established, enduring structures—such as institutions, laws, norms, and social hierarchies—that mold and regulate human behavior. These structures are not haphazard or coincidental but are deeply embedded and established within society, exhibiting a degree of permanence that dictates the parameters within which individuals navigate their lives.

Structuralism postulates that these systems exert a profound influence, shaping the thoughts, actions, and opportunities available to individuals within a given society. Emphasizing the importance of social structures, structuralism explores the ways in which these frameworks shape and constrain individual agency (Bernstein, 1986; Estes & Edmonds, 1981; Johnson, 2008; Layder, 2014; Prus, 1995). For example, the structure of the economy, with its distribution of wealth and resources, significantly impacts the life opportunities available to individuals. Similarly, legal structures and societal norms govern behaviors, determining acceptable and unacceptable actions within a community. This lens also recognizes the role of social hierarchies, such as class, race, and gender, in delineating the life trajectories and experiences of individuals. Structuralism underscores that individuals' behaviors and choices are not isolated but are deeply entwined with and influenced by these broader social structures. Moreover, structuralism highlights the stability and persistence of these societal structures, often emphasizing their inertia and resistance to immediate change (Cronk, 1973; Dennis & Martin, 2005; Denzin, 2005; Fine, 1990; Forte,

2004). These structures tend to perpetuate themselves and endure over time, shaping the experiences of individuals and providing a framework within which social life is organized. While acknowledging the constraints posed by these structures, structuralism does not negate the potential for change. However, it suggests that transformations within societal structures often occur gradually and are subject to complex interactions among various social forces. Furthermore, structuralism is integral in understanding the interconnectedness of various societal systems. It elucidates how different social institutions and structures interlock and impact one another. For instance, the economic system significantly influences education, healthcare, and politics, while these systems, in turn, reciprocally influence the economy. This interconnectedness underscores the intricate web of societal structures and the ripple effects that changes in one sector may have across the entire system (Blumer, 1986; Carter & Fuller, 2016; Hall, 1972; Matsueda & Heimer, 1997; Quist-Adade, 2019).

Critics of structuralism point to its tendency to downplay the role of individual agency and subjective experiences in favor of an overemphasis on the power of societal structures. They argue that this approach might oversimplify the complexities of human behavior and individual decision-making processes. In contemporary sociology, while structuralism remains a foundational perspective, its limitations have led to the integration of complementary theoretical frameworks. Post-structuralism, for instance, challenges the rigidity of structuralism by focusing on the fluid and dynamic nature of social structures and the multiplicity of truths and experiences within society. The integration of multiple theoretical lenses, such as symbolic interactionism or feminist theory, offers a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamic interplay between societal structures and individual behaviors (Habermas, 1987; Hutchison, Charlesworth, & Cummings, 2003; M. C. Jackson, 2007; Lemke, 2005; Marshall & Bengtson, 2011). This interdisciplinary approach facilitates a nuanced comprehension of the multifaceted nature of human experiences within larger societal systems. Structuralism's enduring legacy lies in its foundational role in highlighting the pervasive influence of societal structures on human behavior, serving as a launching point for more intricate analyses and discussions within sociology.

## 7. Rational Decision-Making in Sociology and Economics

Rational Choice Theory stands as a foundational perspective within sociology and economics, proposing that individuals engage in decision-making processes driven by rational calculations aimed at optimizing their gains while minimizing their costs. This theory roots its fundamental premise in the assumption that individuals are rational actors who possess clear preferences, employ logical reasoning, and act purposefully to achieve their self-interest. At the core of Rational Choice Theory lies the belief that human behavior is fundamentally driven by a cost-benefit analysis, wherein individuals weigh the potential advantages and disadvantages of various courses of action before making decisions. Central to Rational Choice Theory is the notion of utility maximization, wherein individuals strive to maximize the benefits or 'utility' derived from their choices. This utility can encompass various forms, from economic gains to social or emotional satisfaction. It assumes that individuals aim to make choices that offer the highest possible utility or satisfaction, given the constraints they face (Akinyoade, 2013;

Churton & Brown, 2017; Giroux & Robbins, 2015; Morris & Herring, 1984; Scambler & Scambler, 2015). The decision-making process, therefore, is seen as a rational calculation to achieve the most favorable outcome. This theoretical perspective applies not only to economic choices but extends to a broad spectrum of decisions in various aspects of life, such as personal relationships, education, career, and even criminal behavior. It postulates that individuals assess the potential costs and benefits associated with each decision and opt for the alternative that promises the most favorable outcome, given their preferences and constraints. For example, in economic scenarios, a rational individual would opt for a higher-paying job over a lower-paying one, assuming all other factors remain equal.

Moreover, Rational Choice Theory highlights the role of constraints and information in decision-making. Individuals might face limitations such as time, resources, or information when making choices (Alexander & Colomy, 1985; Bengtson, Burgess, & Parrott, 1997; Gewirtz & Cribb, 2009; Luhmann, 2018; J. H. Turner, 1988). This theory assumes that individuals make rational decisions based on the information available to them at the time of the decision. Consequently, the accuracy and comprehensiveness of information play a pivotal role in rational decision-making. A lack of information or misinformation may lead to decisions that deviate from optimal outcomes. Critically, this theory assumes that individuals act independently, considering their own self-interests when making decisions. It acknowledges that individuals might have different preferences and goals, and as rational actors, they act in ways that best serve their own interests. This element of self-interest is central to Rational Choice Theory, presupposing that individuals prioritize their personal welfare when making choices. However, Rational Choice Theory faces several critiques.

Critics argue that it might oversimplify human behavior, ignoring the influence of emotions, social norms, and other non-rational factors that often play a crucial role in decision-making. Additionally, it has been challenged for assuming perfect information and perfect rationality, which might not align with real-world decision-making processes. In contemporary sociological and economic studies, Rational Choice Theory remains an influential perspective despite its limitations. While acknowledging the complexities inherent in human decision-making, this theory continues to offer valuable insights into the ways individuals evaluate choices and make decisions in various domains. Scholars often integrate Rational Choice Theory with other theoretical perspectives, such as behavioral economics or social exchange theory, to capture the nuances and intricacies of decision-making processes. This integration of multiple perspectives enhances the understanding of human behavior and decision-making within different contexts (Levine, 1995; Neuber, 2011; Nichols, 2003; Sharrock, Hughes, & Martin, 2003).

Moreover, Rational Choice Theory has found applications in diverse fields, including political science, criminology, and sociology. In political science, it's used to analyze voting behaviors and political decision-making. In criminology, it underpins analyses of criminal behavior, examining how individuals weigh the potential gains and risks before engaging in illegal activities. In sociology, it's employed to understand social interactions and collective behaviors within communities. The interdisciplinary applications and adaptability of Rational Choice Theory contribute to its enduring relevance and significance in study-

ing human behavior and decision-making processes. While acknowledging its simplifications and limitations, the theory's utility in explaining decision-making continues to shape research and analyses across multiple disciplines, offering a foundational framework for understanding the rational underpinnings of human behavior in various contexts.

## **8. Social Interaction through Exchange Dynamics**

Social Exchange Theory, a foundational perspective within sociology, dives deep into the intricate dynamics of relationships and interactions, centering on the give-and-take processes among individuals. This theory posits that social interactions are analogous to economic transactions, wherein individuals engage in a calculated process of exchanging resources—be they tangible or intangible—to maximize benefits and minimize costs (Buechler, 2008; Michalowski, 2016). Derived from the works of theorists such as George Homans and Peter Blau, Social Exchange Theory elucidates the dynamics of interpersonal relationships, emphasizing the inherent balance of reciprocity, negotiation, and the rational evaluation of costs and rewards within these interactions. The core tenet of Social Exchange Theory revolves around the notion of social relationships as transactions in which individuals weigh the potential benefits and costs of their interactions. Much like an economic exchange, individuals assess the 'rewards' or benefits they anticipate receiving from the relationship against the 'costs' they might incur.

Rewards can encompass a spectrum of elements, from emotional support and companionship to material resources or information, while costs might involve time, effort, or emotional strain. Individuals seek to maximize rewards while minimizing costs in their interactions, aiming to achieve a favorable 'profit' in their social transactions. Furthermore, this theory underlines the significance of the 'comparison level' and the 'comparison level for alternatives' in shaping individuals' perceptions of their relationships. The comparison level denotes an individual's expectation of what they believe they deserve or should receive in a relationship. If the actual rewards in a relationship meet or exceed this comparison level, individuals perceive the relationship as satisfactory. The comparison level for alternatives, on the other hand, refers to an individual's evaluation of potential alternatives available outside the current relationship. If an individual believes that the potential rewards from an alternative relationship surpass those of the current one, they might be inclined to terminate or seek modifications in the existing relationship.

The theory emphasizes the role of reciprocity in relationships, underscoring that individuals engage in social interactions with an implicit expectation of reciprocity. This notion aligns with the belief that individuals feel obliged to reciprocate in kind if someone provides them with resources or favors. Moreover, Social Exchange Theory explores the concept of equity in relationships, positing that individuals seek a fair and balanced exchange of resources within their interactions. If one party feels they are contributing more or receiving less than the other, it might lead to dissatisfaction and potential adjustments in the relationship to restore balance. The application of Social Exchange Theory extends to diverse relationships, from friendships and romantic partnerships to professional networks and community engagements. Within friendships, individuals might

exchange emotional support, trust, and companionship while evaluating the equity and reciprocity of these exchanges. In romantic relationships, the theory explicates the exchange of love, intimacy, and material support, emphasizing the importance of fairness and balance in these interactions. In professional networks, individuals may engage in exchanges of knowledge, opportunities, and support while assessing the costs and rewards within these networks.

Similarly, community engagements and societal interactions are analyzed through the lens of Social Exchange Theory, elucidating the dynamics of give-and-take in communal relationships. Critics of Social Exchange Theory point to its limitations in accounting for the complexities of human relationships. Some argue that reducing relationships to mere cost-benefit analyses overlooks the emotional, cultural, and contextual aspects that significantly shape interactions. Additionally, the theory's focus on the quantification of rewards and costs might oversimplify the multifaceted nature of relationships. However, in contemporary sociological analyses, Social Exchange Theory remains a valuable perspective in understanding the dynamics of social interactions. Scholars have integrated this theory with other frameworks, such as relational dialectics or communication theory, to capture the intricacies and complexities of relationships. This integration allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of human interactions and the diverse mechanisms at play within different relationship contexts.

Furthermore, the applications of Social Exchange Theory extend beyond sociology to fields such as psychology, economics, and organizational behavior. In psychology, this theory informs studies on interpersonal relationships, shedding light on the dynamics of exchange and reciprocity within these relationships. In economics, aspects of Social Exchange Theory are integrated into analyses of consumer behavior and market transactions, emphasizing the rational evaluation of costs and benefits. In organizational behavior, the theory elucidates the dynamics of employee-employer relationships and the give-and-take dynamics within these interactions. The interdisciplinary applications of Social Exchange Theory underscore its enduring relevance and influence in understanding human interactions within various contexts. While acknowledging its simplifications and limitations, the theory's utility in explaining the dynamics of social transactions continues to shape research and analyses across multiple disciplines, offering a foundational framework for understanding the rational underpinnings of social interactions and relationships in diverse settings.

## **9. Fragmented Realities in Postmodern Societies**

Postmodernism stands as a pivotal and multifaceted framework that significantly challenges the foundations of traditional sociological theories, advocating a radical reevaluation of the conventional understanding of truth, reality, and the structures that govern society. Emerging as a response to modernism's emphasis on rationality, progress, and universal truths, postmodernism presents an alternative lens through which to perceive and analyze the world. Central to this perspective is the rejection of the idea of a singular, absolute truth and the recognition of the inherently fluid, subjective, and ever-changing nature of societal constructs. At its core, postmodernism questions the validity of metanarratives—grand, overarching

ing stories or theories that claim to explain all of history, society, or human existence. It challenges the notion that there is a single truth or reality that underpins all social phenomena. Instead, postmodernism posits that reality is fragmented, diverse, and multifaceted, shaped by varying perspectives, experiences, and interpretations. This perspective emphasizes the subjective nature of truth, contending that reality is a construct influenced by individual perceptions and shaped by the cultural, historical, and social contexts in which individuals exist. Postmodernism challenges the belief in stable, fixed truths and asserts the idea of “hyperreality,” a concept that delineates the blurring of boundaries between reality and simulations or representations. It contends that in a postmodern society, the distinction between what is real and what is a representation of reality becomes increasingly indistinct.

For instance, the prevalence of media, advertising, and digital technologies has created a landscape where representations and simulations can often be perceived as reality. The proliferation of social media, for instance, allows individuals to curate and project their identities, blurring the line between reality and constructed personas. Moreover, postmodernism critiques the idea of stable, enduring identities and instead advocates for a fluid, decentered understanding of the self. It contests the notion of a unified, coherent self and highlights the multiplicity of identities and experiences that individuals encompass. The self is perceived as contingent, context-dependent, and fragmented, shaped by various social, cultural, and historical influences. This fluidity challenges traditional notions of identity, questioning the stability and coherence of individual selves. One of the fundamental aspects of postmodernism is its emphasis on the deconstruction of binary oppositions and hierarchies. It questions the validity of binaries such as good/evil, male/female, or nature/culture, highlighting their artificiality and their role in creating and perpetuating power differentials and hierarchies within society. Postmodernism contends that these oppositions are not fixed but are socially constructed, and their deconstruction allows for a more nuanced understanding of the complexities inherent in these concepts.

Postmodernism’s critique extends to traditional sociological theories, such as functionalism and structuralism, emphasizing their limitations in capturing the complexities and dynamics of a postmodern society. It questions the assumption of grand narratives and overarching truths posited by these theories, advocating instead for a more fragmented, contextual, and diverse understanding of societal phenomena. The fluidity and subjectivity of postmodern thought provide a powerful lens to examine the contemporary, rapidly changing, and globalized world, which evades singular explanations and resists overarching theories. Critics of postmodernism highlight concerns about the potential for a loss of objective truth and the erosion of common values or standards. They argue that the emphasis on subjectivity and the rejection of overarching narratives might lead to relativism, where all perspectives and truths are considered equally valid, potentially undermining shared understandings and collective meaning-making processes within society. In contemporary discourse, postmodernism’s influence pervades various fields beyond sociology, including art, literature, philosophy, and cultural studies. Its impact extends to diverse domains, challenging established norms, perspectives, and narratives. Within art and literature, for instance, postmodernism rejects traditional forms and challenges linear narratives, embracing fragmentation and intertextuality. In philosophy, it

offers new ways of understanding knowledge and reality, exploring diverse perspectives and the limitations of language in capturing truth. In cultural studies, postmodernism is instrumental in analyzing the complexities of a rapidly changing, globalized world, where diverse cultural influences intersect and interact. The interdisciplinary applications and adaptability of postmodern thought continue to shape discussions and analyses, leading to a deeper understanding of the complexities inherent in contemporary societies. While acknowledging its limitations and the critiques it faces, postmodernism’s influence in challenging established norms and providing a more nuanced understanding of the multifaceted nature of reality and society remains significant. Its enduring legacy lies in its radical reevaluation of truth, reality, and societal constructs, fostering diverse perspectives and fostering critical analyses that contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding the complexities of the postmodern world.

## 10. Exploring Subjective Realities of Phenomenology

Phenomenology, a foundational theory in the realms of philosophy and sociology, accentuates a radical departure from the traditional objective stance of examining the world, steering towards a comprehensive understanding of subjective experiences, interpretations, and the intricate process through which individuals construct and make sense of their reality. Rooted in the works of philosophers like Edmund Husserl and later expounded upon by thinkers such as Martin Heidegger and Maurice Merleau-Ponty, phenomenology posits that reality is not an objective entity that exists independently of human consciousness but is actively shaped and interpreted by the subjective experiences and consciousness of individuals. At its essence, phenomenology concerns itself with the study of phenomena—events, occurrences, or experiences—as they manifest in an individual’s consciousness. It emphasizes the lived experiences of individuals, recognizing these experiences as the primary source of knowledge about the world.

Phenomenology endeavors to explore the structures and meanings of these experiences and how individuals actively interpret and give significance to the world around them. The focus lies on understanding the essence and content of these lived experiences, rather than aiming to uncover an objective truth that exists independently of human perception. One of the fundamental premises of phenomenology is the suspension of judgment and preconceptions about the world. This process, termed ‘bracketing’ or ‘epoche,’ encourages researchers to set aside preconceived notions and assumptions about reality. By suspending judgment, individuals can engage in a more open and unbiased exploration of the phenomena as experienced by individuals. This approach allows for a clearer examination of the richness and complexity inherent in individual experiences, unencumbered by external influences or biases. Phenomenology emphasizes the concept of ‘intentionality,’ highlighting that consciousness is always consciousness of something. In simpler terms, every experience or perception is directed towards an object or something external. This intentional nature of consciousness underscores the interconnectedness between the subject (the experiencing individual) and the object (what is being experienced). This lens encourages the examination of how individuals actively engage with and interpret their experiences, shaping their understanding of the world. Moreover, phenomenology underscores the significance of ‘lifeworld’ or



'Lebenswelt,' describing the everyday world as experienced and interpreted by individuals. It encompasses the multitude of experiences, emotions, perceptions, and social interactions that constitute an individual's lived reality. The lifeworld serves as the canvas upon which individuals construct their understanding of the world, providing the context and foundation for their experiences and interpretations. This concept emphasizes that individuals' understanding of the world is deeply rooted in their subjective experiences within their lifeworld.

Phenomenology, with its focus on subjectivity and individual experiences, has critical implications for understanding and interpreting various aspects of human life, ranging from perception and embodiment to social interactions and culture. In perception, phenomenology explores how individuals perceive and make sense of the world through their senses, underscoring that perception is not a passive reception of stimuli but an active, interpretative process that involves the individual's experiences, beliefs, and context. In embodiment, it elucidates how individuals experience the world through their bodies, emphasizing the embodied nature of human consciousness and the impact of the body on the experiences and interpretations of reality. Social interactions are also a significant domain in phenomenology, with an emphasis on how individuals construct meaning and interpret their social world through their interactions with others. It highlights the shared meanings and interpretations individuals create in their social encounters, contributing to the construction of a shared reality within a community or society. Critics of phenomenology raise concerns about its subjective nature and its potential to overlook objective truths or realities. Some argue that by emphasizing individual experiences and interpretations, phenomenology might not account for a shared, objective reality that exists independently of subjective experiences.

However, in contemporary philosophical and sociological discourse, phenomenology remains an influential and multifaceted framework, contributing significantly to the understanding of subjective experiences and interpretations. The integration of phenomenology with other theoretical perspectives, such as hermeneutics or critical theory, offers a more comprehensive understanding of the complexities inherent in human experiences and the diverse mechanisms at play within different contexts. The applications of phenomenology extend beyond philosophy and sociology, encompassing various fields such as psychology, healthcare, and education. In psychology, phenomenology informs studies on consciousness, perception, and human experiences, shedding light on the diverse and subjective nature of individual experiences. In healthcare, it aids in understanding patients' experiences and perspectives, providing a more holistic approach to care that incorporates the subjective experiences of individuals. In education, phenomenology informs teaching and learning practices by focusing on the students' experiences and interpretations, promoting a student-centered approach that acknowledges the diversity of experiences within the classroom. The interdisciplinary applications and adaptability of phenomenological thought continue to shape discussions and analyses, leading to a deeper understanding of the complexities inherent in human experiences. While acknowledging its limitations and the critiques it faces, phenomenology's influence in illuminating the subjective nature of human experiences remains significant. Its enduring legacy lies in its radical reevaluation of truth, reality, and individual experiences, fostering diverse perspectives and fostering critical anal-

yses that contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding the complexities of human consciousness and lived experiences.

## **11. Critical Theory in Deconstructing Injustice**

Critical Theory serves as a fundamental and transformative lens within sociology, characterized by its rigorous critique of societal structures, norms, and power dynamics with the ultimate goal of fostering social change and justice. Rooted in the works of scholars such as Max Horkheimer, Theodor Adorno, and Herbert Marcuse at the Frankfurt School in the mid-20th century, Critical Theory emerged as a response to the limitations of traditional sociological theories and as a means to unravel and challenge the prevailing power structures that perpetuate inequalities and social injustices. At its core, Critical Theory posits that societal structures, norms, and institutions are not neutral or impartial but are inherently shaped by and perpetuate power imbalances, hierarchies, and systemic oppressions. It examines the ways in which these structures maintain and reinforce domination, exclusion, and exploitation, particularly of marginalized or underprivileged groups within society. Critical Theory challenges the status quo by shedding light on the underlying mechanisms that sustain social inequalities and perpetuate oppressive systems.

This approach encompasses a wide array of critical perspectives, including Marxist theory, feminist theory, critical race theory, and postcolonial theory, among others. These various strands share a common goal: to analyze and deconstruct the power dynamics and structural inequalities within society. While each branch has its specific focus, they collectively emphasize the need to critique and transform societal structures to promote social justice and equality. Critical Theory emphasizes the examination of the underlying power dynamics and hierarchies within society. It challenges the notion that power operates neutrally and suggests that it is often wielded by dominant groups to maintain control over marginalized or oppressed groups. This lens scrutinizes the ways in which power is utilized to perpetuate social inequalities, exclusion, and exploitation. It sheds light on how certain groups maintain their dominance by controlling resources, institutions, and discourses, thus reproducing and reinforcing systemic injustices. Furthermore, Critical Theory accentuates the importance of praxis—the intersection of theory and practice—in effecting social change.

It asserts that theoretical analyses should not remain in the realm of abstract critique but should be translated into action aimed at transforming oppressive structures and promoting social justice. The goal is to empower marginalized groups and challenge the status quo by fostering activism, social movements, and policy changes that aim to dismantle oppressive structures. One of the fundamental aspects of Critical Theory is its emphasis on the role of ideology in shaping and perpetuating societal inequalities. It contends that ideologies, often propagated by dominant groups, serve to justify and maintain the status quo. These ideologies frame and justify social hierarchies and power imbalances, leading to the naturalization and perpetuation of oppressive structures. Critical Theory aims to unveil these ideologies, critique their underlying premises, and challenge the narratives that sustain inequality and injustice. Moreover, Critical Theory encourages reflexivity and self-critique. It calls for individuals to critically analyze their own

positions, privileges, and biases within society. By acknowledging one's own role within power structures and recognizing personal biases, individuals can better engage in the process of dismantling oppressive structures and promoting social justice. Critics of Critical Theory often argue that it can be too focused on critique and can lack concrete solutions or action plans for social change. Additionally, critics raise concerns about potential ideological biases within Critical Theory and its potential to discredit other viewpoints by labeling them as part of oppressive structures.

In contemporary sociology and social sciences, Critical Theory remains a powerful and influential framework, shaping discussions and analyses aimed at understanding and challenging oppressive structures. Scholars and practitioners have extended the applications of Critical Theory to various domains, including education, politics, and cultural studies. In education, it informs pedagogical approaches aimed at fostering critical thinking, social consciousness, and activism among students. In politics, it influences analyses of power structures and policy-making, advocating for social justice-oriented policies. In cultural studies, Critical Theory is instrumental in analyzing the power dynamics and ideologies perpetuated within cultural expressions and representations. The interdisciplinary applications and adaptability of Critical Theory continue to shape discussions and analyses, leading to a deeper understanding of the complexities inherent in societal structures and power dynamics. While acknowledging its limitations and the critiques it faces, the enduring legacy of Critical Theory lies in its radical critique of societal injustices, fostering diverse perspectives, and promoting critical analyses that contribute to ongoing discussions on social justice and equity. Its influence remains significant in challenging and transforming oppressive structures within society, advocating for a more just and equitable world.

## 12. Conclusion

In this comprehensive exploration of sociological theories, we have delved into a rich amalgamation of frameworks that provide critical lenses through which we perceive and understand the intricate dynamics of human society. From Functionalism to Critical Theory, these theoretical paradigms offer diverse perspectives on how society functions, how power is wielded, and how individuals interpret and construct their social reality. By synthesizing and juxtaposing these theories, this research paper has endeavored to provide a holistic view of the multifaceted nature of societal dynamics. The journey through these theories has revealed the profound impact they have on sociological inquiry, shaping the ways in which scholars and researchers analyze and interpret the complexities of human behavior, societal structures, and power dynamics. Each theory brings its unique insights and critical focus, offering a particular lens through which the social world can be illuminated. From the macro-level perspectives that scrutinize the grand structures of society to the micro-level approaches that delve into individual interactions, these frameworks collectively enrich our understanding of society. Functionalism, which views society as a system of interconnected parts working together to maintain stability and social equilibrium, highlights the interdependence of various social institutions. The examination of Functionalism underscores the intricate balance that exists within society, with each component contributing to the overall functioning of the social system. By understanding how institu-

tions fulfill vital functions, Functionalism provides an essential perspective on societal cohesion.

On the other hand, Conflict Theory, with its focus on power differentials, social stratification, and the perpetual conflict between dominant and subordinate groups, reveals the inequalities and disparities that arise from the struggle for resources and power. It challenges the prevailing social order and emphasizes the role of power in shaping societal dynamics. The insights gained from Conflict Theory contribute to an in-depth analysis of power dynamics within society. Symbolic Interactionism, which scrutinizes how shared symbols and meanings shape social interactions, underlines the significance of communication and interaction in constructing individual identities and social reality. This perspective dives deep into the world of micro-level interactions, offering a profound understanding of the role of symbols in shaping human behavior. Symbolic Interactionism provides valuable insights into the realm of everyday interactions. Feminist Theory, emerging from multiple strands, seeks to challenge the gender-based disparities and the structural oppression of women. This critical lens emphasizes the pervasive gender inequalities and advocates for gender equality, pushing for social reforms to rectify historical and contemporary marginalization.

Feminist Theory sheds light on the importance of dismantling gender-based oppression. Structuralism explores how societal structures influence individual behavior, emphasizing the role of larger societal systems in shaping human experiences. The examination of Structuralism underscores the stability and interconnectedness of societal systems and their influence on individual agency. This perspective offers insights into the impact of societal structures on individual behavior. Rational Choice Theory, rooted in economics and later extended to sociology, posits that individuals make decisions based on rational calculations to maximize benefits and minimize costs. This perspective dives deep into the strategic evaluation of costs and benefits, revealing how individuals navigate their choices in various domains of life. Rational Choice Theory contributes to an understanding of individual decision-making processes. Social Exchange Theory, akin to Rational Choice Theory, focuses on relationships and interactions, examining the give-and-take dynamics among individuals. This perspective elucidates social interactions as akin to economic transactions, emphasizing the calculated process of exchanging resources to maximize benefits and minimize costs within relationships.

Social Exchange Theory offers insights into the dynamics of social interactions. Phenomenology, which emphasizes the subjective experiences of individuals and how they interpret and make sense of their world, challenges the objectivity of reality. It underscores the significance of lived experiences and the interpretation of the world through individual consciousness. Phenomenology contributes to a deeper understanding of individual subjectivity and the construction of reality. Lastly, Critical Theory, serving as a transformative lens, critiques societal structures, norms, and power dynamics. Its aim is to transform oppressive systems and advocate for social justice. This critical framework sheds light on the underlying power dynamics and systemic oppressions within society, fostering analyses that aim to dismantle oppressive structures and foster social change. The synthesis of these diverse theoretical perspectives has unveiled not only the individual contributions of each

framework but also the interconnectedness and mutual reinforcement among these theories. As we have traversed through the complexities of sociology, it becomes evident that these perspectives are not isolated silos; rather, they converge to provide a holistic understanding of societal phenomena. By integrating these various lenses, we can achieve a more comprehensive understanding of the intricacies of human behavior, societal structures, and power dynamics.

The integration of these theoretical perspectives offers a nuanced understanding of societal phenomena, enabling critical analyses that aim to unravel the complex web of human experiences within society. It positions us to not only gain a deeper understanding of the social world but also empowers us to critically evaluate societal structures and power dynamics, ultimately paving the way for potential social transformations. The diverse theoretical frameworks presented in this research paper underscore the importance of rigorous critique, deep analysis, and the constant pursuit of social justice. As we conclude this journey through sociological theories, it is worth noting the enduring relevance and significance of these frameworks. While they have been critiqued, adapted, and evolved over time, their influence in shaping sociological research and fostering our understanding of society remains pivotal.

These theories continue to inform research, policy-making, and social movements, serving as invaluable tools for those seeking to comprehend, critique, and transform the complex web of human social interactions. In contemporary sociology, the integration and adaptation of these theoretical frameworks continue to shape discussions and analyses, leading to a deeper understanding of the intricacies inherent in societal structures and power dynamics. Their interdisciplinary applications extend beyond the realms of academia, influencing policy decisions, social movements, and the broader societal discourse. The enduring legacy of sociological theories lies in their ability to empower individuals and communities to critically analyze the social world, advocate for social justice, and pave the way for a more equitable and just society. In conclusion, the multitude of theoretical perspectives within sociology offers a comprehensive lens through which we can scrutinize and interpret the complexities of human society. As we navigate the ever-evolving landscape of social interactions and power structures, these frameworks stand as guiding lights, illuminating the path toward deeper understanding and transformative change. The rich amalgamation of sociological theories remains an enduring testament to our commitment to unravelling the intricate dynamics of human society and fostering a world where justice and equality prevail.

**Funding Information:**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Disclosure Statement:**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

**Competing Interest:**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

**Data Availability Statement:**

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

**References**

- Abrutyn, S. (2013). *Revisiting institutionalism in sociology: Putting the "institution" back in institutional analysis*: Routledge.
- Akinyoade, D. (2013). Theories in peace and conflict research.
- Alexander, J. C., & Colomy, P. (1985). Toward neo-functionalism. *Sociological Theory*, 3(2), 11-23.
- Allan, K. (2005). *Explorations in classical sociological theory: Seeing the social world*: Pine Forge Press.
- Allan, K. (2013). *The social lens: An invitation to social and sociological theory*: Sage Publications.
- Baert, P., & Da Silva, F. C. (2010). *Social theory in the twentieth century and beyond*: Polity.
- Ballantine, J., & Hammack, F. M. (2015). *The sociology of education: A systematic analysis*: Routledge.
- Ballantine, J. H., & Roberts, K. A. (2008). *Our social world: Introduction to sociology*: Pine forge press.
- Barbalet, J. M. (2001). *Emotion, social theory, and social structure: A macrosociological approach*: Cambridge University Press.
- Bengtson, V. L., Burgess, E. O., & Parrott, T. M. (1997). Theory, explanation, and a third generation of theoretical development in social gerontology. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 52(2), S72-S88.
- Bernardi, F., González, J. J., & Requena, M. (2007). The sociology of social structure. *21st century sociology: A reference handbook*, 1, 162-170.
- Bernstein, R. J. (1986). Structuration as critical theory. *Praxis International*, 6(2), 235-249.
- Biddle, B. J. (1986). Recent developments in role theory. *Annual review of sociology*, 12(1), 67-92.
- Blumer, H. (1986). *Symbolic interactionism: Perspective and method*: Univ of California Press.
- Boltanski, L., Honneth, A., & Celikates, R. (2014). Sociology of Critique or Critical Theory? Luc Boltanski and Axel Honneth in Conversation with Robin Celikates. Translated by Simon Susen.

- Branom, C. (2014). Perspectives of Social Justice in Sociology. *Social Justice and Social Work: Rediscovering a Core Value of the Profession*, 125-138.
- Brantlinger, E., & Danforth, S. (2006). Critical theory perspective on social class, race, gender, and classroom management. *Handbook of classroom management: Research, practice, and contemporary issues*, 157-179.
- Brent, E., Brent, E. E., & Lewis, J. S. (2013). *Learn sociology*: Jones & Bartlett Publishers.
- Brickell, C. (2006). The sociological construction of gender and sexuality. *The Sociological Review*, 54(1), 87-113.
- Browne, C. (2016). *Critical social theory*: Sage.
- Buechler, S. (2008). What is critical about sociology? *Teaching Sociology*, 36(4), 318-330.
- Burrell, G., & Morgan, G. (2017). *Sociological paradigms and organisational analysis: Elements of the sociology of corporate life*: Routledge.
- Buzan, B. (2004). *From international to world society?: English school theory and the social structure of globalisation* (Vol. 95): Cambridge University Press.
- Callero, P. L. (2003). The sociology of the self. *Annual review of sociology*, 29(1), 115-133.
- Capper, C. A. (1993). Educational administration in a pluralistic society.
- Carter, M. J., & Fuller, C. (2016). Symbols, meaning, and action: The past, present, and future of symbolic interactionism. *Current sociology*, 64(6), 931-961.
- Catton Jr, W. R., & Dunlap, R. E. (1978). Environmental sociology: A new paradigm. *The american sociologist*, 41-49.
- Cetina, K. K., & Cicourel, A. V. (2014). *Advances in social theory and methodology (RLE social theory): Toward an integration of micro-and macro-sociologies*: Routledge.
- Chang, J. H. Y. (2004). Mead's theory of emergence as a framework for multilevel sociological inquiry. *Symbolic Interaction*, 27(3), 405-427.
- Charmaz, K. (2011). Grounded theory methods in social justice research. *Strategies of qualitative inquiry*, 4(1), 359-380.
- Chiareli, A. A. (2019a). Applying the "Christian Sociological Re-Imagination" Approach: An Analysis of Illegal Immigration in the US. *Journal of Sociology and Christianity*, 9(2), 29-54.
- Chiareli, A. A. (2019b). Constructing a "Christian Sociological Re-Imagination:" Creation, Fall, and Redemption as a Unifying Analytical Framework. *Journal of Sociology and Christianity*, 9(1), 27-47.
- Churton, M., & Brown, A. (2017). *Theory and method*: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Cicourel, A. V. (1995). *The social organization of juvenile justice* (Vol. 36): Transaction Publishers.
- Clarke, A. E. (1991). Social worlds/arenas theory as organizational theory. *Social organization and social process: Essays in honor of Anselm Strauss*, 119.
- Cockerham, W. C. (2007). *Social causes of health and disease*: Polity.
- Collinson, D. (2005). Dialectics of leadership. *Human relations*, 58(11), 1419-1442.
- Conyers, A., & Calhoun, T. C. (2015). The interactionist approach to deviance. *The handbook of deviance*, 259-276.
- Côté, J.-F. (2019). The past, present, and future of GH Mead in symbolic interactionism: A dialectical encounter around the issue of feminism, power, and society. In *The Interaction Order* (Vol. 50, pp. 117-140): Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Cronk, G. F. (1973). Symbolic Interactionism: A "Left-Median" Interpretation. *Social Theory and Practice*, 2(3), 313-333.
- Davis, N. J. (1972). Labeling theory in deviance research: A critique and reconsideration. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 13(4), 447-474.
- Delaney, T. (2015). *Connecting sociology to our lives: An introduction to sociology*: Routledge.
- Dennis, A., & Martin, P. J. (2005). Symbolic interactionism and the concept of power. *The British journal of sociology*, 56(2), 191-213.
- Denzin, N. K. (2005). Symbolic interactionism and ethnomethodology: A proposed synthesis. *Contemporary Sociological Thought*, 123.
- Denzin, N. K. (2017). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods*: Transaction publishers.
- Doda, Z. (2005). Introduction to sociology. In: Ethiopia public health.
- Doing, S. (2001). Sociological perspectives.
- Dunlap, R. E. (2002). Paradigms, theories, and environmental sociology. *Sociological theory and the environment: Classical foundations, contemporary insights*, 329-350.
- Eder, K. (1993). *The new politics of class: Social movements and cultural dynamics in advanced societies* (Vol. 23): Sage.
- Eder, K. (2015). Social movements in social theory. *The Oxford handbook of social movements*, 31-49.
- Edgley, C. (2003). The dramaturgical genre. *Handbook of symbolic interactionism*, 140-172.
- Eisenstadt, S. N. (1990). Functional analysis in anthropology and sociology: An interpretative essay. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 19(1), 243-260.
- Eisenstadt, S. N. (1995). *Power, trust, and meaning: Essays in sociological theory and analysis*: University of Chicago Press.
- Estes, C. L., & Edmonds, B. C. (1981). Symbolic interaction and social policy analysis. *Symbolic Interaction*, 4(1), 75-86.
- Fararo, T. J. (2001). *Social action systems: Foundation and synthesis in sociological theory*: Bloomsbury Publishing USA.
- Fine, G. A. (1990). Symbolic interactionism in the post-Blumerian age. In *Frontiers of social theory. The new syntheses* (pp. 117-157): Columbia University Press.
- Fine, G. A. (1993). The sad demise, mysterious disappearance, and glorious triumph of symbolic interactionism. *Annual review of sociology*, 19(1), 61-87.
- Fine, G. A. (1995). *A second Chicago school?: The development of a postwar American sociology*: University of Chicago Press.
- Forte, J. A. (2004). Symbolic interactionism and social work: A forgotten legacy, Part 1. *Families in society*, 85(3), 391-400.
- Fox, R. C., Lidz, V. M., & Bershad, H. J. (2005). *After Parsons: A theory of social action for the twenty-first century*: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Fuchs, C. (2006). The self-organization of social movements. *Systemic practice and action research*, 19, 101-137.
- Gewirtz, S., & Cribb, A. (2009). *Understanding education: A sociological perspective*: Polity.
- Giddens, A., & Griffiths, S. (2006). *Sociology*: Polity.
- Giroux, H. A. (1980a). Beyond the correspondence theory: Notes on the dynamics of educational reproduction and transformation. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 10(3), 225-247.
- Giroux, H. A. (1980b). Critical theory and rationality in citizenship education. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 10(4), 329-366.

- Giroux, H. A. (1982). The politics of educational theory. *Social Text*(5), 87-107.
- Giroux, H. A., & Robbins, C. G. (2015). Theories of reproduction and resistance in the new sociology of education: Toward a critical theory of schooling and pedagogy for the opposition. In *Giroux Reader* (pp. 3-45): Routledge.
- Giulianotti, R. (2015). *Sport: A critical sociology*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Godwyn, M., & Gittel, J. H. (2011). *Sociology of organizations: Structures and relationships*: Sage Publications.
- Görke, A., & Scholl, A. (2006). Niklas Luhmann's theory of social systems and journalism research. *Journalism studies*, 7(4), 644-655.
- Griswold, W. (2012). *Cultures and societies in a changing world*: Sage.
- Guess, T. J. (2006). The social construction of whiteness: Racism by intent, racism by consequence. *Critical Sociology*, 32(4), 649-673.
- Gumpłowicz, L. (1980). *Outlines of sociology*: Transaction Publishers.
- Habermas, J. (1987). The tasks of a critical theory of society. In *Modern German Sociology* (pp. 187-212): Columbia University Press.
- Hall, P. M. (1972). A symbolic interactionist analysis of politics. *Sociological Inquiry*, 42(3-4), 35-75.
- Hall, P. M. (2003). Interactionism, social organization, and social processes: Looking back and moving ahead. *Symbolic Interaction*, 26(1), 33-55.
- Harper, C., & Snowden, M. (2017). *Environment and society: Human perspectives on environmental issues*: Routledge.
- Hassard, J. (1995). *Sociology and organization theory: Positivism, paradigms and postmodernity*: Cambridge University Press.
- Heilbroner, R. (2012). A Social Ethics Approach to Social Problems. *Walls and Bridges: Social Justice and Public Policy*, 1.
- Henry, S., & Milovanovic, D. (1991). Constitutive criminology: The maturation of critical theory. *Criminology*, 29(2), 293-316.
- Hirsch, M. (2008). The sociology of international economic law: Sociological analysis of the regulation of regional agreements in the world trading system. *European Journal of International Law*, 19(2), 277-299.
- Hirsch, M. (2018). The Sociological Perspective on International Law. *A Revised Version of This Chapter Will Be Included in International Legal Theory: Foundations and Frontiers* (Jeffrey L. Dunoff & Mark A. Pollack, Eds., *Cap*, Forthcoming), Hebrew University of Jerusalem Legal Research Paper(19-01).
- Howard, J. A. (1994). A social cognitive conception of social structure. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 210-227.
- Hurrelmann, K. (1988). *Social structure and personality development: The individual as a productive processor of reality*: CUP Archive.
- Hustedde, R. J. (2009). Seven theories for seven community developers. *An introduction to community development*, 20-37.
- Hutchison, E. D. (2003). *Dimensions of human behavior: Person and environment* (Vol. 1): Sage.
- Hutchison, E. D., Charlesworth, L. W., & Cummings, C. (2003). Theoretical perspectives on human behavior. *Hutchison (Ed.), Dimensions of human behavior: Person and environment*, 2, 46-88.
- Jackson, M. C. (2007). *Systems approaches to management*: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Jackson, P. A., & Smith, S. J. (2014). *Exploring Social Geography (Routledge Revivals)*: Routledge.
- Jacobsen, M. H. (2019). *Critical and cultural interactionism: Insights from sociology and criminology*: Routledge.
- Janowitz, M. (1991). *On social organization and social control*: University of Chicago Press.
- Joas, H. (1993). *Pragmatism and social theory*: University of Chicago Press.
- Johnson, D. P. (2008). Symbolic Interaction: Constructing the Social World—and its Participants' Identities. *Contemporary Sociological Theory: An Integrated Multi-Level Approach*, 109-135.
- Jun, J. S. (2012). *The social construction of public administration: Interpretive and critical perspectives*: State University of New York Press.
- Katovich, M. A., & Reese, W. A. (1993). Postmodern Thought in Symbolic Interaction: Reconstructing Social Inquiry in Light of Late-Modern Concerns. *Sociological Quarterly*, 34(3), 391-411.
- Kingsbury, N., & Scanzoni, J. (1993). Structural-functionalism. In *Sourcebook of family theories and methods: A contextual approach* (pp. 195-221): Springer.
- Király, G., Pataki, G., Köves, A., & Balázs, B. (2013). Models of (future) society: Bringing social theories back in backcasting. *Futures*, 51, 19-30.
- Lamont, M., & Wuthnow, R. (1990). Betwixt and between: Recent cultural sociology in Europe and the United States. In *Frontiers of social theory. The new syntheses* (pp. 287-315): Columbia University Press.
- Lange, E. (2015). (Re) igniting a sociological imagination in adult education: the continuing relevance of classical theory. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 34(5), 491-513.
- Layder, D. (2014). *Structure, Interaction and Social Theory (RLE Social Theory)*: Routledge.
- Lemke, J. L. (2005). *Textual politics: Discourse and social dynamics*: Taylor & Francis.
- Levine, D. N. (1995). *Visions of the sociological tradition*: University of Chicago Press.
- Liu, S. (2015). Law's social forms: A powerless approach to the sociology of law. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 40(1), 1-28.
- Livesay, J. (1985). Normative grounding and praxis: Habermas, Giddens, and a contradiction within critical theory. *Sociological Theory*, 3(2), 66-76.
- Llewellyn, A., Agu, L., & Mercer, D. (2008). *Sociology for social workers*: Polity.
- Lockie, S. (2004). Collective agency, non-human causality and environmental social movements: a case study of the Australian 'landcare movement'. *Journal of sociology*, 40(1), 41-57.
- Luhmann, N. (2018). *Trust and power*: John Wiley & Sons.
- MacDonald, M. (2001). Finding a critical perspective in grounded theory. *Using grounded theory in nursing*, 112, 113-158.
- Marshall, V. W., & Bengtson, V. L. (2011). Theoretical perspectives on the sociology of aging. *Handbook of sociology of aging*, 17-33.
- Martin, P. Y. (2004). Gender as social institution. *Social forces*, 82(4), 1249-1273.
- Matsueda, R. L. (2006). Differential social organization, collective action, and crime. *Crime, law and social change*, 46, 3-33.
- Matsueda, R. L., & Heimer, K. (1997). A symbolic interactionist theory of role-transitions, role-commitments, and delinquency. *Developmental theories of crime and delinquency*, 44(3), 163-213.
- McNamee, S., & Glasser, M. (1987). The power concept in sociology: A theoretical assessment. *Humboldt Journal of Social Relations*, 79-104.
- Merton, R. K. (1996). *On social structure and science*: University of Chicago Press.
- Michalowski, R. J. (2016). What is crime? *Critical Criminology*, 24, 181-199.

- Molnar, G., & Kelly, J. (2013). *Sport, exercise and social theory: An introduction*. Routledge.
- Morris, A., & Herring, C. (1984). Theory and research in social movements: A critical review.
- Morrow, R. A., & Torres, C. A. (1995). *Social theory and education: A critique of theories of social and cultural reproduction*. State University of New York Press.
- Mouzelis, N. P. (2008). *Modern and postmodern social theorizing: Bridging the divide*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nalah, A. B., & Ishaya, L. D. (2013). A conceptual overview of deviance and its implication to mental health: a bio psychosocial perspective. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention*, 2(12), 1-9.
- Neuber, A. (2011). Understanding violence in the 'society of captives': Sykes meets Bourdieu in prison. *The Howard Journal of Criminal Justice*, 50(1), 1-16.
- Nichols, L. T. (2003). Voices of social problems: A dialogical constructionist model. In *Studies in Symbolic Interaction* (pp. 93-123): Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- O'Donnell, D. (1999). Habermas, critical theory and selves-directed learning. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 23(4/5), 251-261.
- Olsson, L., & Jerneck, A. (2018). Social fields and natural systems. *Ecology and Society*, 23(3).
- Phillipson, C., & Baars, J. (2007). Social theory and social ageing. *Ageing in society*, 68-84.
- Powell, J. L., & Gilbert, T. (2008). Social theory and emotion: sociological excursions. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 28(9/10), 394-407.
- Prior-Miller, M. (2017). Four major social scientific theories and their value to the public relations researcher. In *Public relations theory* (pp. 67-81): Routledge.
- Prus, R. (1995). *Symbolic interaction and ethnographic research: Intersubjectivity and the study of human lived experience*. State University of New York Press.
- Prus, R. (1999). *Beyond the power mystique: Power as intersubjective accomplishment*. State University of New York Press.
- Putney, N. M., Alley, D. E., & Bengtson, V. L. (2005). Social gerontology as public sociology in action. *The American sociologist*, 36(3-4), 88-104.
- Quist-Adade, C. (2019). *Symbolic interactionism: The basics*. Vernon Press.
- Reed, M. (2006). 1.1 Organizational theorizing: A historically contested terrain. *The Sage handbook of organization studies*, 19-54.
- Rigney, D. (2001). *The metaphorical society: An invitation to social theory*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Risman, B. J. (2018). *Gender as a social structure*. Springer.
- Ritzer, G. (1990). Micro-macro linkage in sociological theory: Applying a metatheoretical tool. In *Frontiers of Social Theory. The New Syntheses* (pp. 347-370): Columbia University Press.
- Ritzer, G. (1991). *The recent history and the emerging reality of American sociological theory: A metatheoretical interpretation*. Paper presented at the Sociological Forum.
- Ritzer, G. (2004). *Encyclopedia of social theory*. Sage publications.
- Ritzer, G. (2015). *Essentials of sociology*. Sage Publications.
- Robbins, S. P., Chatterjee, P., & Canda, E. R. (2010). *Contemporary human behavior theory*. Pearson.
- Rogers, A. (2013). *Human behavior in the social environment*. Routledge.
- Roslender, R., & Dillard, J. F. (2003). Reflections on the interdisciplinary perspectives on accounting project. *Critical perspectives on accounting*, 14(3), 325-351.
- Savelsberg, J. J. (1994). Knowledge, domination, and criminal punishment. *American Journal of Sociology*, 99(4), 911-943.
- Scambler, G. (2018). *Sociology, health and the fractured society: A critical realist account*. Routledge.
- Scambler, G., & Scambler, S. (2015). Theorizing health inequalities: The untapped potential of dialectical critical realism. *Social Theory & Health*, 13, 340-354.
- Schafer, R. B. (1971). *Exchange Theory and Symbolic Interaction: An Analysis of Interactionist Perspectives*. The Pennsylvania State University.
- Schiff, D. N. (1976). Socio-legal theory: social structure and law. *The Modern Law Review*, 39(3), 287-310.
- Schur, E. M. (1969). Reactions to deviance: A critical assessment. *American Journal of Sociology*, 75(3), 309-322.
- Shabani, O. A. P. (2003). *Democracy, power and legitimacy: The critical theory of Jürgen Habermas*. University of Toronto Press.
- Shalin, D. N. (1986). Pragmatism and social interactionism. *American sociological review*, 9-29.
- Sharrock, W., Hughes, J. A., & Martin, P. J. (2003). *Understanding modern sociology*. Sage.
- Soltanpour, Y., Peri, I., & Temri, L. (2019). Area of protection in S-LCA: human well-being or societal quality. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 24, 2073-2087.
- Sovacool, B. K., & Hess, D. J. (2017). Ordering theories: Typologies and conceptual frameworks for sociotechnical change. *Social studies of science*, 47(5), 703-750.
- Star, S. L. (1996). 13 Working together: Symbolic interactionism, activity theory, and information systems. *Cognition and communication at work*, 296.
- Stedman, R. C., Decker, D. J., Riley, S., & Siemer, W. F. (2012). Sociological considerations in wildlife management. *Human dimensions of wildlife management*, 58-67.
- Stolley, K. S. (2005). *The basics of sociology*. Greenwood Press.
- Stout, A. K. (2004). BRINGING SOCIAL MOVEMENTS INTO THE DIALECTIC. *Social Problems, Law, and Society*, 19.
- Strasser, H. (2014). *The normative structure of sociology (RLE Social Theory): Conservative and emancipatory themes in social thought*. Routledge.
- Sutherland, J.-A., & Feltey, K. (2012). *Cinematic sociology: Social life in film*. Sage.
- Swingewood, A. (1999). Sociological theory. *Sociology: Issues and Debates*, 50-72.
- Teixeira, M. (2017). The sociological roots and deficits of Axel Honneth's theory of recognition. *The Palgrave Handbook of Critical Theory*, 587-609.
- Thompson, J. B. (1983). Rationality and social rationalization: An assessment of Habermas's theory of communicative action. *Sociology*, 17(2), 278-294.
- Thompson, M. J. (2016). *The domestication of critical theory*. Rowman & Littlefield.

- Thompson, W. E., Hickey, J. V., & Thompson, M. L. (2016). *Society in focus: An introduction to sociology*: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Turner, J. H. (1988). *A theory of social interaction*: Stanford University Press.
- Turner, J. H. (2001). Sociological theory today. In *Handbook of sociological theory* (pp. 1-17): Springer.
- Turner, J. H. (2007). *Human emotions: A sociological theory*: Taylor & Francis.
- Turner, J. H. (2008). 21st Century Sociology. In: Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Turner, J. H. (2012). Contemporary sociological theory.
- Turner, J. H., & Boyns, D. E. (2001). The return of grand theory. In *Handbook of sociological theory* (pp. 353-378): Springer.
- Turner, J. H., & Machalek, R. S. (2018). *The new evolutionary sociology: Recent and revitalized theoretical and methodological approaches*: Routledge.
- Turner, J. H., & Maryanski, A. (1979). *Functionalism*. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company Menlo Park, CA.
- Turner, R. (2017). *Social psychology: Sociological perspectives*: Routledge.
- Turner, R. H. (1988). Personality in society: Social psychology's contribution to sociology. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 1-10.
- Ugwudike, P. (2015). *An introduction to critical criminology*: Policy Press.
- Ulmer, J. T. (2017). The extensive legacy of symbolic interactionism in criminology. *The Handbook of the History and Philosophy of Criminology*, 103-122.
- Valentine, C. G., Trautner, M. N., & Spade, J. Z. (2019). *The kaleidoscope of gender: Prisms, patterns, and possibilities*: Sage Publications.
- Wagner, H. R. (1964). Displacement of scope: A problem of the relationship between small-scale and large-scale sociological theories. *American Journal of Sociology*, 69(6), 571-584.
- Walter, R. R. (2017). Emancipatory nursing praxis: a theory of social justice in nursing. *Advances in Nursing Science*, 40(3), 225-243.
- Weigert, A. J. (2008). Pragmatic thinking about self, society, and natural environment: Mead, Carson, and beyond. *Symbolic Interaction*, 31(3), 235-258.
- Wexler, P. (2017). *Social analysis of education: After the new sociology* (Vol. 57): Routledge.
- White, J. M., Martin, T. F., & Adamsons, K. (2018). *Family theories: An introduction*: Sage Publications.
- Williams, R. H. (2004). The cultural contexts of collective action: Constraints, opportunities, and the symbolic life of social movements. *The Blackwell companion to social movements*, 91-115.
- Wrong, D. H. (2018). *The oversocialized conception of man*: Routledge.
- Yar, M. (2012). Critical criminology, critical theory and social harm. *New directions in criminological theory*, 52-65.
- York, R., & Mancus, P. (2009). Critical human ecology: Historical materialism and natural laws. *Sociological Theory*, 27(2), 122-149.
- Zerihun, D. (2005). Introduction to sociology. In: Ethiopia Public Health Training Initiative.

© 2021, Author(s).

This open access publication is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format.

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material.

However,

Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

Non-Commercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes.

Share Alike — If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license.

You shall not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

There are no additional restrictions.

